

JAMES PUZEY (NV SBN 05745)

jpuzey@nvlawfirm.com

KEARNEY PUZEY DAMONTE

800 South Meadows Parkway, Suite 800

Reno, Nevada 89521

Telephone: (775) 851-8700

SHERRIE M. FLYNN (*Pro Hac Vice*)

sflynn@ch-law.com

ROBERT K. ASHLEY (*Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming*)

rashley@ch-law.com

COLEMAN & HOROWITT, LLP

Attorneys at Law

499 W. Shaw Avenue, Suite 116

Fresno, California 93704

Telephone: (559) 248-4820

Facsimile: (559) 248-4830

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants (excluding Utherverse International, Ltd.)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA – RENO DIVISION

UTHERVERSE, INC., a Nevada corporation,
BRIAN SHUSTER, an individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BRIAN QUINN, an individual; JOSHUA
DENNE, and individual; BLOCKCHAIN
FUNDING, INC. a Delaware corporation;
BLOCKCHAIN ALLIANCE LLC, a
Wyoming Limited Liability Company;
MASTERNODE PARTNERS, LLC, a
Wyoming Limited Liability Company;
LYNNE MARTIN, an individual; NIYA
HOLDINGS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
company; NIMA MOMAYEZ, an individual;
and JEREMY ROMA, an individual,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:25-cv-00020-MMD-CSD

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO DISMISS AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

Complaint Filed: January 10, 2025

Counterclaim Filed: February 28, 2025

Amended Counterclaim Filed: April 27, 2025

Date: TBD

Time: TBD

Courtroom: TBD

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIM.

Filed Concurrently with Notice of Motion and
Motion to Dismiss, and Memorandum of
Points and Authorities in Support Thereof

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

2 The Motion to Dismiss the Amended Counterclaim (the “ACC”) filed by Counter-
3 Defendants (excluding Utherville International, Ltd.; hereinafter “Moving Parties”) in this matter
4 came on regularly for hearing before this Court on _____, 2025.

5 Having considered the moving and opposition papers, arguments, and all other matters
6 presented to the Court, the Court finds that the Counterclaimants have failed to allege sufficient
7 facts for each cause of action and thus, have failed to state a claim upon which relief may be
8 granted.

9 It is **HEREBY ORDERED** that Moving Parties’ Motion to Dismiss Counterclaimants’
10 Amended Counterclaim is **GRANTED**. The Amended Counterclaim in this case is ordered
11 **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

12
13
14 Dated: _____

HON. JUDGE MIRANDA M. DU
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE